

[S.1 in Scholze's p.]

Main theorem: K/\mathbb{Q}_p -alg. closed, complete field, $K^+ \subset K$ open bounded

5.1 $X \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K, K^+)$ proper, smooth adic space; \mathbb{L} \mathbb{F}_p -loc. system on $X_{\text{ét}}$

- ① $\forall i \geq 0$ $H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L})$ finite dim \mathbb{F}_p -vec. space, which is $= 0$ for $i > 2 \dim X$.
- ② \exists almost iso. $(K^+$ -modules) $H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{O}_X^{+a}/p) \cong H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K^{+a}/p$

Cor. (relative) $f: X \rightarrow Y$ proper, smooth, X, Y loc. noetherian adic spaces / $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ / \mathbb{L} \mathbb{F}_p -loc. system / $X_{\text{ét}}$. For $i \geq 0$:

\exists almost iso. (of \mathbb{O}_Y^+ -modules) $(R^i f_{\text{ét},*} \mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{O}_Y^{+a}/p \cong R^i f_{\text{ét},*} (\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{O}_X^{+a}/p)$

proof: Take a geom. point $\text{Spa}(L, L^+) \rightarrow Y$ base-change and main thm.

History of de Rham comparison. ① Faltings - by almost étale method (for constant coefficients) Tsuzuki - did it for smooth sheaves (unpublished) F. and T. - algebraic setting \rightarrow problems with topology?

② Scholze - proof for proper, smooth spaces and de Rham, smooth sheaves. (no Poincaré duality needed)

Proof of thm 5.1: Assume for now

lemma 5.8: K perfectoid field of char 0, $\zeta_p^n, n \geq 0$ (p^{th} roots of 1), X proper smooth adic space / $\text{Spa}(K, \mathbb{O}_K)$, \mathbb{L} \mathbb{F}_p -loc. system. Then:

$H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{O}_X^{+a}/p)$ are f.g. \mathbb{O}_K -modules and almost zero for $i > 2 \dim X$ [we'll prove it later]

Step 1: pass from (K, K^+) to (K, \mathbb{O}_K) ; $X' = X \times_{\text{Spa}(K, K^+)} \text{Spa}(K, \mathbb{O}_K) \subset X$ open

Claim: $H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{O}_X^{+a}/p) \xrightarrow[\text{iso.}]{\text{almost iso.}} H^i(X'_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{O}_X^{+a}/p)$

proof of claim: Take a hypercovering $U \rightarrow X$ s.t. U affine perfectoid hence $U \times_X X' \rightarrow X'$. Then

(*) $H^i(U_n, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{O}_U^{+a}/p) \xrightarrow[\text{iso.}]{\text{almost iso.}} H^i(U_n \times_X X', \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{O}_U^{+a}/p)$ it is char. 0 for $i > 0$ and almost iso. for $i = 0$.

It is: 4.9. \rightarrow 4.11. i.e.:

- (a) $i > 0$ $H^i(U, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{O}_U^{+a}/p) = 0$
- (b) $i = 0$ $H^0(U, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{O}_U^{+a}/p) = M(U)$ a fin. gen. projective R^{+a}/p -module
- (c) $U' \rightarrow U \rightarrow M(U') = M(U) \otimes_{R^{+a}/p} R^{+a}/p$

Step 2: claim there exists an Artin-Schreier sequence (on $X_{\text{proét}}$):

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X^b} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X^b} \rightarrow 0$$

here: $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X^b} := \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X^+ / p$. Enough to prove surjectivity; can assume

$U \in X_{\text{proét}}$ affinoid perfectoid and \mathbb{L}_U is trivial.

need: to be able to ~~realise~~ étale covering as a tilt of étale covering.

have it: $\hat{U}_{\text{ét}}^b \cong \hat{U}_{\text{ét}} + \text{descend it down}$

There exists a long exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(X_{\text{proét}}, \mathbb{L}) \rightarrow H^i(X_{\text{proét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X^b}) \rightarrow H^i(X_{\text{proét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X^b}) \rightarrow \dots$$

(*) claim: $H^i(X_{\text{proét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X^b}) \cong (k^b)^{\vee}$ comp. with Frobenius

Assume it, then we would have: $\dots \xrightarrow{0} (\mathbb{F}_p)^{\vee} \rightarrow (k^b)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} (k^b)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{0} \dots$

hence $H^i(X_{\text{proét}}, \mathbb{L}) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{\vee}$.

and then we get that $H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^+ / p) \stackrel{\text{abn. iso.}}{\cong} H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L}) \otimes k^+ / p$
 by tilting $\cong (\mathbb{F}_p^{\vee}) \otimes k^+ / p$

proof of (*): mod $\pi \rightsquigarrow$ mod $\pi^n \rightsquigarrow \varprojlim$ where $\pi \leftarrow p = \pi^{\#}$.

I. Let $M_k = H^i(X_{\text{proét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ / \pi^k)$. By dévissage + Frobenius \Rightarrow
 (this is fin. per.)

$\Rightarrow M_k \cong (\mathcal{O}_{k^b}^+ / \pi^k)^{\vee}$ for some σ .

II. pass to \varprojlim (use lemma and $R^i \varprojlim = 0$) then invert π

to get $H^i(X_{\text{proét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X^b}) \cong (k^b)^{\vee}$.

we have left lemma 5-8 (see above):

almost zero: start with lemma 5.6. V affinoid ~~perfectoid~~ adic space / $\text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$,

$V \xrightarrow{\text{étale}} \mathbb{A}^n$ ("good" - composition of rational maps)

(i) $i > n \Rightarrow H^i(V_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_V^+ / p) = \text{almost zero}$.

proof: $\hat{V} := V \times_{\mathbb{A}^n} \hat{\mathbb{A}}^n$, $\hat{V} \rightarrow V$ "Galois" with group \mathbb{Z}_p^n . s coordinate of \hat{V} .

use here lemma 4.11 $\Rightarrow H^i(\hat{V}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\hat{V}}^+ / p) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

and for $i=0$ it gives M - e fin. per. projective S^+ / p -module

Use Cartan-Leray s.s. $\Rightarrow H^i(V_{\text{proét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_V^+ / p) \stackrel{\text{abn. iso.}}{\cong} H^i_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n, M)$

\uparrow coh. dim n

~~R/R/p~~ $\lambda: X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}} \xrightarrow{(1)} R_{\lambda}^j(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{O}_X^+} / p)$ elem. zero for $j > \dim X$.
 \downarrow
coh. dim. = $\dim X \rightarrow 2 \dim X \quad \square$

f.g. \mathbb{O}_K -module:
(ii) $V' \subseteq V$ rational. Then the image of $H^i(V'_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{O}_{V'}}^+ / p) \rightarrow H^i(V_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{O}_V}^+ / p)$ is almost f.g. \mathbb{O}_K -module.

This claim permits to conclude: choose $N = j+2$ covers $V_1^{(j+2)} \subset \dots \subset V_1^{(N)} \subset X$

For every covering $V^{(k)}$ there exists a spectral sequence

$$E_{1, (k)}^{m_1, m_2} = \bigoplus_{|J|=m_1} H^{m_2}(V^{(k)}_{J, \text{ét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{O}_V^+} / p) \Rightarrow H^{m_1+m_2}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{O}_V^+} / p)$$

and get maps $E_{1, (k)} \rightarrow E_{1, (k+1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} V'$

Need: Image $H^i_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n, M) \rightarrow H^i_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n, M \otimes_{S^{j+}/p} S^{j+}/p)$ is a fin. gen. \mathbb{O}_K -mod.
Can assume $M \sim S^{j+}/p$ strict rational

Filter $V^{(N)} = V' \subset \dots \subset V^{(k)} \subset \dots \subset V^{(1)} = V$

Claim: $H^i_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n, S^{(j)+}/p) \rightarrow H^i_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n, S^{(j+1)+}/p)$ image of this map is a f.g. \mathbb{O}_K -module.

Compute both sides: $R^+ = \mathbb{O}_K \langle T_1^{\pm 1}/p^m, \dots, T_n^{\pm 1}/p^m \rangle$
 $R_m^+ = \mathbb{O}_K \langle T_1^{\pm 1}/p^m, \dots, T_n^{\pm 1}/p^m \rangle$

$\mathbb{O}_m = \text{Span}(R_m, R_m^+)$, $V_m^{(j)} = V^{(j)} \times_X \mathbb{O}_m$; use approximation: $S^{(j)+} \sim S_m^{(j)+} \otimes_{R_m^+} R_m^+$
 $H^i_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n, (S_m^{(j)+} \otimes_{R_m^+} R_m^+)) \rightarrow H^i_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n, S_m^{(j+1)+} \otimes_{R_m^+} R_m^+)$

go up and down: $H^i((\mathbb{Z}/p^m)^n, H^i_{\text{cont}}((\mathbb{Z}/p^m)^n, S_m^{(j)+} \otimes_{R_m^+} R_m^+)) \Rightarrow H^{i+1}_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n, S_m^{(j)+} \otimes_{R_m^+} R_m^+)$

get: $S_m^{(j)+} / p \otimes_{R_m^+} H^i_{\text{cont}}((\mathbb{Z}/p^m)^n, R^+/p) \Rightarrow S_m^{(j+1)+} \otimes_{R_m^+} H^i_{\text{cont}}(\dots)$ trivial action. what's the image of it? (denote it IM)

(i) $S_m^{(j)+} / p \rightarrow S_m^{(j+1)+} / p$; $S_m^{(j)+} \rightarrow S_m^{(j+1)+}$ compl. continuous $\Rightarrow \text{mod } p$
IM $\leftarrow A \otimes H^i_{\text{cont}}((\mathbb{Z}/p^m)^n, R^+/p)$ IM is a f.g. \mathbb{O}_K -module. (denote it A)

compute: $\bigoplus_{(i_1, \dots, i_m)} H^i_{\text{cont}}((\mathbb{Z}/p^m)^n, R_m^+ / p T_1^{i_1} \dots T_n^{i_n})$
which is the cohomology of $\bigotimes (R_m^+ / p \xrightarrow{e_{i_1} - 1} R_m^+ / p)$
fix ϵ , then there are only finite number of indices which matter for us \square