

Def. A perfectoid field is a non-archimedean field K complete w.r.t. $|\cdot|: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ s.t. $|K| \subset \mathbb{R}$ dense and $\varphi = \text{Frob}: \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ is surjective.

Basic examples: $\cdot K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ s.t. $K = \widehat{K} \Rightarrow K$ perfectoid

$\cdot \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})^\wedge, \mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})^\wedge, \mathbb{F}_p((t^{1/p^\infty})) = \mathbb{F}_p((t)) [t^{1/p^\infty}]^\wedge$

in what follows, fix perfectoid base field K and $w \in K$ s.t. $|p| \leq |w| < 1$.

Tilting: $\mathcal{O}_K^\circ = K^\circ \subseteq K$ powerbounded elts., $K^{b,0} := \varprojlim_{\text{Frob}} K^\circ/w$. Ring in char p .

$K^b = \text{Frac}(K^{b,0})$ "tilt of K "

Remark (i) K^b is a perfectoid field of char p .

(ii) $w \in K^\circ \iff w^b \in K^{b,0}$, "even better".

induced by $K^{b,0} = \varprojlim_{\leftarrow} K^\circ \rightarrow K^\circ$

as mult. monoids

$K^{b,0} \cong \varprojlim_{\leftarrow} K^\circ$ yields a map $K^b \rightarrow K$
 $\psi \mapsto \psi^\#$

projection to the 1st factor.

(iii) if char $K = 0$, consider the map

$v: W(K^{b,0}) \rightarrow K^\circ$ which is a surjection.
 $[x] \mapsto x^\#$

Prop. 1 (fix K) Tilting induces an equivalence of categories

$\{ \text{fin. ext. of } K \} \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \{ \text{fin. ext. of } K^b \}$
 $L \mapsto L^b$

(in case of char $K = 0$, inverse is given by $S \mapsto W(S^\circ) \otimes_{W(K^{b,0}), \psi} K$)

especially: $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \cong \text{Gal}(K^{b,\text{sep}}/K^b)$

Prop. 2 There is a bijection: $\{ \text{cont. valuations on } K \} \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \{ \text{cont. valuations on } K^b \}$

s.t. $\forall x \in K^b \quad |x|^b = |x^\#|$

idea of proof: $\{ \text{cont. val. on } K \} \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \{ \text{open and integrally closed subings of } K^\circ \}$

Def. A perfectoid K -algebra is a Banach K -alg. R s.t. $R^\circ \subseteq R$ is bounded and $\phi = \text{Frob}: R^\circ/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow R^\circ/\mathfrak{p}$ is surjective.

Rem. (i) if char $K = p$, then $\iff R$ perfect.

(ii) R perfectoid $\implies R$ reduced (follows from boundedness of R°)

Examples: $R = K\langle T^{1/p^\infty} \rangle$

Tilting for algebras: given R as above, $R^{b,0} = \varprojlim_{x \rightarrow x^b} R^{\circ}/\omega$, $R^b = R^{b,0} \otimes_{K^{b,0}} K^b = R^{b,0} [\frac{1}{\omega^b}]$

Def. (i) A perfectoid K° -alg. is a complete and flat $K^{\circ,a}$ -alg. A s.t.
(integral level) $\phi: A/\omega^{1/p} \xrightarrow{\cong} A/\omega$ isom in cat. of $K^{\circ,a}$ -alg.

(ii) A perfectoid (K°/ω) -alg is $---$ $(K^{\circ}/\omega)^a$ -alg. s.t. $---$

Thm. (Tilting equivalence) There is a chain of equivalences of categories:

$$K\text{-Perf} \cong K^{\circ}\text{-Perf} \cong K^{\circ}/\omega\text{-Perf} \cong K^{b,0}/\omega^b\text{-Perf} \cong K^{b,0}\text{-Perf} \cong K^b\text{-Perf}$$

$$R \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} R^b$$

Rem. if $\text{char } K = 0$, inverse map is $S \mapsto W(S^{\circ}) \otimes_{W(K^{b,0})} K$.

idea of proof: ad(i): M is a $K^{\circ,a}$ -module then it is flat as $K^{\circ,a}$ -mod if and only if $M_* = \text{Hom}_{K^{\circ,a}}(K^{\circ,a}, M)$ is flat K° -mod ($\Leftrightarrow M_*$ has no ω -torsion)

M is ω -adically complete $\Leftrightarrow M_*$ is ω -adically complete.

$R \mapsto R^{\circ,a}$ and $S_a[\frac{1}{\omega^a}] \leftarrow S$ are inverse to each other.

ad(ii) one needs to show: $A \mapsto A/\omega$ is an equivalence.

want to show: deforming A/ω is unobstructed; most important step:

$$\text{if } \bar{A} \text{ is perfectoid } K^{\circ,a}/\omega \text{-alg.} \Rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\bar{A}/(K^{\circ,a}/\omega)}^a = 0$$

Assume A_n is a lift of \bar{A} to $K^{\circ,a}/\omega^n$ is constructed.

$$\Rightarrow \text{exact triangle } \mathbb{L}_{\bar{A}/(K^{\circ,a}/\omega)}^a \xrightarrow{\omega^{n-1}} \mathbb{L}_{A_n}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{A_{n-1}}^a \Rightarrow \text{by induction } \mathbb{L}_{A_n/(K^{\circ,a}/\omega^n)}^a = 0$$

\Rightarrow no obstruction and no ambiguity to lifting.

Def. let R be perfectoid K -alg., $R^+ \subseteq R$ open and integral closed K° -alg.

then we say that $X = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is an affinoid perfectoid space.

Topology on X is induced by rational subsets $\mathcal{U}(\frac{f_1, \dots, f_n}{g}) = \{x \mid |f_i(x)| \leq |g(x)|\}$; $f_i, g \in R$ (f.i.) = R

X is equipped with presheaves $\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X^+$. These are sheaves for perf. spaces.

Remark: the role of R^+ : easy to see $\mathfrak{m} R^{\circ} \subseteq R^+ \subseteq R^{\circ}$ where \mathfrak{m} is K° maximal.

Def. A perfectoid space is a locally ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) together with the system of continuous valuations $|\cdot|_x: \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \setminus \{0\}$ $\forall x \in X$ s.t. locally $(X, \mathcal{O}_X, |\cdot|_x)$ is affinoid perfectoid.

E. Hellmann GDT "perf. spaces" - overview

Tilting: K perfectoid field, K^b tilt, R perf. K alg, R^b its tilt.

Then $X = Spa(R, R^+) \mapsto X^b = Spa(R^b, R^{b+})$ its tilt.

recall: we have a map $R^b \rightarrow R \quad \# \mapsto \#^\#$ (just like for fields)

Thm. There exists a homeomorphism $h: X = Spa(R, R^+) \xrightarrow{\cong} X^b = Spa(R^b, R^{b+})$

s.t. $|f(x^b)| = |f^\#(x)| \quad \forall f \in R \quad x \mapsto x^b$

and $U \subseteq X$ is a rational subset $\iff h(U) \subseteq X^b$ is rational

and s.t. if $U^b = \{x \in X^b \mid |f_i(x)| \leq |g(x)|\}$

then $U = \{x \in X \mid |f_i^\#(x)| \leq |g^\#(x)|\}$

Moreover, $\Gamma(U^b, \mathcal{O}_{X^b}^+)^{\omega} = R^{b, \omega} \langle \frac{(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{g} \rangle^{1/p^\infty}$ and also $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X^+)^{\omega} = R^{\omega} \langle \frac{(f_i^\#)}{g} \rangle^{1/p^\infty}$

especially: $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)^b = \Gamma(U^b, \mathcal{O}_{X^b})$

About the proof: for any $f \in R, c > 0, \epsilon > 0 \exists g_{c, \epsilon} \in R^b$ s.t.

$|f(x) - g_{c, \epsilon}^\#| \leq |\omega|^{1-\epsilon} \max(|f(x)|, |\omega|^c)$

\implies each rational subset of X is a pre-image of a rational subset in X^b .

injectivity of h follows as X and X^b are T_0 -spaces.

Lemma: $x \in X^b \mapsto \widehat{k(x)}$ = completion of res. field at x is a perfectoid field.

$\widehat{k(x)}^{\omega^b} = \omega^b$ -adic completions of $\mathcal{O}_{X^b, x}^{\omega^b}$ \rightarrow perfectoid $\implies \widehat{k(x)}$ perfectoid.

Surjectivity: Let $x \in X^b \implies \widehat{k(x)}$ perfectoid field / k^b . can "undo" the tilt \rightsquigarrow

\rightsquigarrow find field L/k s.t. $L^b = \widehat{k(x)}$ and $\{ \text{valuations on } L \} \xrightarrow{1-\text{tilt}} \{ \text{valuations on } \widehat{k(x)} \}$

in a way s.t. $|f(x)| = |f^\#(y)|$. \square

Cor. $x \in X \implies \widehat{k(x)}$ perfectoid.

Acyclicity thm $X = Spa(R, R^+)$ aff. perfectoid. Assume $X = \cup U_i$: fin. many rational subsets

(i) the sequence $0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \prod \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \prod \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \dots$

(ii) $0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^+) \rightarrow \prod \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X^+) \rightarrow \dots$ is almost exact.

idea of proof: only prove (ii); first in char p ; reduce to case $R =$ perfection of K -alg. S
 \implies known that cohom. of complex in (i) is ω -power-torsion. \uparrow top. of finite type
 \implies vanishes after perfection. \uparrow for S

for char 0: diff the statement for char p.

Almost purity: def: (i) A morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ of perf. spaces, k is finite étale

if $\forall U \subseteq X$ aff. perf. $U = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ we have $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq Y$ aff. perf. $= \text{Spa}(S, S^+)$

s.t. S/R finite étale and $S^+ \subseteq S$ integral closure of R^+ .

étale: "locally factors into immersion to something finite étale"

Almost purity thm (version of Scholze).

Tilting induces an equivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite étale} \\ \text{covers of } X \end{array} \right\} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite étale} \\ \text{covers of } X^b \end{array} \right\} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y^b \end{array}$$

remark: "almost" refers to: S/R fin. ét. $\Leftrightarrow S^+/R^+$ almost fin. ét.

idea of proof: Let $x \in X$ map to $x^b \in X^b$, we find:

$$\varinjlim_{x \in U} U_{\text{ét}} \cong \widehat{k(x)}_{\text{ét}} \cong \widehat{k(x^b)}_{\text{ét}} \cong \varinjlim_{x^b \in U^b} U^b_{\text{ét}}$$

we have to "glue" these isomorphisms. \square